

# Analytic Number Theory Problems

Alec Lau

All mistakes are to be emailed to aszlau@gmail.com. All problems written by Prof. Kannan Soundararajan and Prof. Andrew Granville's "Multiplicative Number Theory" textbook, unless otherwise marked.

## Contents

<b>1 The Prime Number Theorem</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Partial Summation . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Different Forms of the Prime Number Theorem . . . . .	1
1.1.2 Adding reciprocals . . . . .	3
1.1.3 $\log N!$ . . . . .	4
1.1.4 The Riemann Zeta Function . . . . .	6
1.2 Chebyshev's Elementary Estimates . . . . .	7
1.2.1 $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}$ . . . . .	7
1.2.2 Proof of Bertrand's postulate . . . . .	9
1.2.3 $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}$ . . . . .	9

## 1 The Prime Number Theorem

### 1.1 Partial Summation

#### 1.1.1 Different Forms of the Prime Number Theorem

**Question 1.** *Given the conjecture*

$$\psi(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ for } p \text{ prime and } m \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and the conjecture

$$\pi(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (3)$$

Use partial summation to prove that (1) and (3) are equivalent and both are equivalent to the conjecture

$$\theta(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \log(p) = x + o(x) \quad (4)$$

**Definition 1. Partial Summation:** Given a sequence  $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , set  $S(t) = \sum_{k \leq t} a_k$ , it is easy to conclude that

$$\sum_{n=A+1}^B a_n f(n) = S(B)f(B) - S(A)f(A) - \sum_{n=A}^{B-1} S(n)(f(n+1) - f(n)) \quad (5)$$

and, if  $f$  is continuously differentiable on  $[A, B]$ , then

$$\sum_{A < n \leq B} a_n f(n) = S(B)f(B) - S(A)f(A) - \int_A^B S(t)f'(t)dt \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* We start with (3). Notice that, if we choose

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = p \text{ for } p \text{ prime} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and  $f(x) = \log x$ , then

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a_n f(n) \quad (8)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{n \leq x} a_n \right) \log x - \int_2^x \left( \sum_{n \leq t} a_n \right) (\log t)' dt \quad (9)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{p \leq x} 1 \right) \log x - \int_2^x \left( \sum_{p \leq t} 1 \right) \frac{1}{t} dt \quad (10)$$

$$= \pi(x) \log x - \int_2^x \pi(t) \frac{1}{t} dt \quad (11)$$

$$\sim \frac{x}{\log x} \log x - \int_2^x \frac{t}{t \log t} dt \quad (12)$$

$$\sim x - \int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt \quad (13)$$

$$\sim x - li(x) \quad (14)$$

Thus  $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$  implies  $\theta(x) = x + o(x)$

Now we assume  $\theta(x) = x + o(x)$ . We can easily see that

$$\theta(x) \leq \psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{k \leq \log_p x} 1 = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \left[ \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right] \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \frac{\log x}{\log p} \Rightarrow \quad (15)$$

$$x + o(x) \leq \psi(x) \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \frac{\log x}{\log p} \quad (16)$$

Let  $f(n) = \frac{\log x}{\log n}$ , and let  $a_n = \log n$  if  $n$  is prime, and 0 otherwise. Then this sum, through partial summation, gives us

$$x + o(x) \leq \psi(x) \leq \theta(x) \frac{\log x}{\log x} - \log 2 \frac{\log x}{\log 2} - \int_2^x \theta(t) \frac{\log x}{t(\log t)^2} dt = x + o(x) \quad (17)$$

Thus  $\theta(x) = x + o(x)$  implies  $\psi(x) = x + o(x) \sim x$ .

Now we assume  $\psi(x) \sim x$ . As before,

$$\psi(x) \leq \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \frac{\log x}{\log p} = \pi(x) \log x \quad (18)$$

□

### 1.1.2 Adding reciprocals

Note: my version of the paper has  $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}$ . I'm pretty sure the denominator should be  $n$ , as this sum is just 1.

**Question 2.** *Prove that for any integer  $N \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = \log N + 1 - \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (19)$$

*Deduce that, for any real  $x \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \quad (20)$$

*where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant*

$$\gamma = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} - \log N \right) = 1 - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (21)$$

Note that, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $[t]$  is the integral part of  $t$ , and  $\{t\}$  is the rest of  $t$ .

*Proof.* We use partial summation again. Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  and  $a_n = 1$ . Thus, by partial summation,

we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = [N] \frac{1}{N} + \log 1 + \int_1^N t \frac{1}{t^2} dt \quad (22)$$

$$= [N] \frac{1}{N} + \int_1^N \frac{1}{t^2} (t - \{t\}) dt \quad (23)$$

$$= 1 + \int_1^N \frac{t}{t^2} dt - \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (24)$$

$$= 1 + \log N - \log 1 - \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (25)$$

$$= \log N + 1 - \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (26)$$

For any *real*  $x$ , we have, through partial summation,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = [N] \frac{1}{N} + \int_1^N t \frac{1}{t^2} dt \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{x - \{x\}}{x} + \log N - \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (28)$$

$$= \log N + 1 - \frac{\{x\}}{x} + \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt - \int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (29)$$

$$= \log N + \gamma - \frac{\{x\}}{x} + \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \quad (30)$$

It remains to prove that  $\frac{\{x\}}{x}$  and  $\int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$  are in  $O(\frac{1}{x})$ . Starting with the former, we see that since  $\{x\} < 1$ , we have that  $|\frac{\{x\}}{x}| < \frac{1}{x}$ , so  $\frac{\{x\}}{x} \in O(\frac{1}{x})$ . Similarly, we have

$$|\int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt| \leq \int_N^\infty |\{t\}| \frac{1}{t^2} dt \leq \int_N^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} dt \in O(\frac{1}{x}) \quad (31)$$

Thus we conclude

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x}) \quad (32)$$

□

### 1.1.3 $\log N!$

**Question 3.** For an integer  $N \geq 1$ , show that

$$\log N! = N \log N - N + 1 + \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt \quad (33)$$

Using that  $\int_1^x (\{t\} - 1/2)dt = (\{x\}^2 - \{x\})/2$ , show that

$$\int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^N \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \quad (34)$$

Conclude that  $N! \sim C\sqrt{N}(N/e)^N$ , where you can take as fact that

$$C = \exp(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt) = \sqrt{2\pi} \quad (35)$$

*Proof.* From rules of logarithms, we have  $\log N! = \log(N(N-1)\dots(2)(1)) = \log N + \log(N-1) + \dots + \log 2 + \log 1$ . We use partial summation once again. Let  $a_n = 1$ , and  $f(x) = \log x$ . From the partial summation formula given by (6), we have

$$\log N! = N \log N - 0 - \int_1^N \left( \sum_{n \leq t} 1 \right) \frac{dt}{t} \quad (36)$$

$$= N \log N - \int_1^N \frac{[t]}{t} dt \quad (37)$$

$$= N \log N - \int_1^N \frac{t - \{t\}}{t} dt \quad (38)$$

$$= N \log N - \int_1^N dt + \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt \quad (39)$$

$$= N \log N - N + 1 + \int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt \quad (40)$$

As for the next part, we notice (38):

$$\int_1^N \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt = \int_1^N \frac{\{t\} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{t} dt \quad (41)$$

$$= \int_1^N \frac{1}{t} (\{t\} - \frac{1}{2}) dt + \int_1^N \frac{1}{2t} dt \quad (42)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \frac{\{t\}^2 - \{t\}}{2} \Big|_1^N - \int_1^N \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\}^2 - \{t\}}{-t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \frac{1}{2} \log 1 \quad (43)$$

$$= 0 + \int_1^N \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\}^2 - \{t\}}{t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \log N \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log N - \int_1^N \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \quad (45)$$

Thus we have

$$\log N! = N \log N - N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^N \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \quad (46)$$

$$= N \log N - N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^\infty \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \quad (47)$$

$$= N \log N - N + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \log C + \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \quad (48)$$

Taking the exponent of both sides, we get

$$N! = N^N \cdot \frac{1}{e^N} \sqrt{N} \cdot C \sqrt{e^{\int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^2}{t^2} dt}} \quad (49)$$

Now we examine the integral in the exponent. First we split it up.

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \right| - \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}^2}{t^2} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty |\{t\}| \frac{1}{t^2} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_N^\infty |\{t\}^2| \frac{1}{t^2} dt \quad (50)$$

It is easy to see that the limit as  $N$  approaches infinity the value of this integral converges to 0, so

$$N! \sim N^N \cdot \frac{1}{e^N} \sqrt{N} \cdot C \sqrt{e^0} \Rightarrow \quad (51)$$

$$N! \sim C \sqrt{N} (N/e)^N \quad (52)$$

□

**Definition 2.** The *Riemann Zeta Function* is given by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad (53)$$

#### 1.1.4 The Riemann Zeta Function

Note: My copy of the problem has a later part. In another copy of the book, this part is labeled as a problem which requires complex analysis, something I haven't learned yet, so I'm skipping that for now.

**Question 4.** Prove that for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ ,

$$\zeta(s) = s \int_1^\infty \frac{[y]}{y^{s+1}} dy = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^\infty \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \quad (54)$$

*Proof.* We use partial summation again. We see that, for  $a_n = 1$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^s}$ , we have  $\zeta(s) =$

$\sum_1^\infty a_n f(n)$ , so, using the usual partial summation formula,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_1^\infty a_n f(n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^N a_n f(n) \quad (55)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ [N] \frac{1}{N^s} - [1] \frac{1}{1^s} - \int_1^N [y] \frac{1}{y^s} dy \right] \quad (56)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ [N] \frac{1}{N^s} - [1] \frac{1}{1^s} - [N] \frac{1}{N^s} + [1] \frac{1}{1^s} + s \int_1^N [y] \frac{1}{y^{s+1}} dy \right] \quad (57)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ s \int_1^N [y] \frac{1}{y^s} dy \right] \quad (58)$$

$$= s \int_1^\infty [y] \frac{1}{y^s} dy \quad (59)$$

We write this final integral in a different way:

$$s \int_1^\infty [y] \frac{1}{y^s} dy = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^N \frac{y - \{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \quad (60)$$

$$= s \int_1^N \frac{y}{y^{s+1}} dy - s \int_1^N \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \quad (61)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ s \int_1^N \frac{1}{y^s} dt - s \int_1^N \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \right] \quad (62)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ -s \frac{1}{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{t^{s-1}} \Big|_1^N \right) - s \int_1^N \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \right] \quad (63)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ -\frac{s}{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{N^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{1^{s-1}} \right) - s \int_1^N \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \right] \quad (64)$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ , we have  $\operatorname{Re}(s) - 1 > 0$ , so, evaluating the limit, we get that this expression is equivalent to

$$\frac{-s}{s-1} (0 - 1) - s \int_1^\infty \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^\infty \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \quad (65)$$

□

## 1.2 Chebyshev's Elementary Estimates

### 1.2.1 $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}$

**Question 5.** *Prove that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \geq 1 \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \quad (66)$$

so that if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}$  exists, it must be equal to 1.

Note that  $\log n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$ , so

$$\sum_{n < x} \log n = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{n=dk} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \quad (67)$$

and, by Stirling's Formula, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) = x \log x - x + O(\log x) \quad (68)$$

*Proof.* We start with  $\limsup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \geq 1$ . Suppose not. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $x > x_0$  for some  $x_0 \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{\psi(x)}{x} \leq (1 - \epsilon)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \psi(x/k) + \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \quad (69)$$

$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) \leq (1 - \epsilon)x \sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \quad (70)$$

$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) \leq (1 - \epsilon)x(\log x - \log x_0 + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x})) + \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \quad (71)$$

$$\epsilon x \log x + O(x) \leq \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \leq \psi(x_0)(x - x_0) \quad (72)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Since the LHS is  $O(x \log x)$  and the RHS is  $O(x)$ , this cannot be true for all  $x > x_0$ . Thus  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \geq 1$ .

We follow the same approach as with  $\limsup$ . We suppose by contradiction that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for all  $x > x_0$  for some  $x_0 \geq 2$ , such that  $\frac{\psi(x)}{x} \geq (1 + \epsilon)$ . We then have

$$(1 + \epsilon)x \sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{\lfloor x/x_0 \rfloor < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \quad (73)$$

$$(1 + \epsilon)x \left[ \log \frac{x}{x_0} + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] + \sum_{\lfloor x/x_0 \rfloor < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \leq x \log x - x + O(\log x) \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{\lfloor x/x_0 \rfloor < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \leq -\epsilon \log x - 1 + (1 + \epsilon) \log x_0 - (1 + \epsilon)\gamma \quad (75)$$

Since  $\psi(x)$  is strictly nonnegative for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , this cannot be true for all  $x > x_0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \geq 1 \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \quad (76)$$

□



### 1.2.2 Proof of Bertrand's postulate

**Question 6.** *Given that*

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) + \psi(2x/3) \geq x \log 4 + O(\log x)$$

*Proof that there exists a prime between  $N$  and  $2N$  for large  $N$ .*

It is given to us that  $\psi(x) \leq x \log 4 + O((\log x)^2)$ . (To see this, just subtract  $\psi(2x) - \psi(x)$  using the approximation given in the previous problem). Therefore, we get that  $\pi(x) \leq (\log 4 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x}$

*Proof.* First we rearrange terms and take the given bound, resulting in

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) \geq x \log 4 - \psi(2x/3) + O(\log x) \Rightarrow \quad (77)$$

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) \geq \frac{1}{3}x \log 4 + O(\log x) \quad (78)$$

Notice that  $\psi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor$ . Then this inequality becomes

$$\sum_{p \leq 2x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log 2x}{\log p} \rfloor - \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor \geq \frac{1}{3}x \log 4 + O(\log x) \quad (79)$$

The LHS is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq 2x} \log 2x - \sum_{p \leq x} \log x &\geq \frac{1}{3}x \log 4 + O(\log x) \\ [\pi(2x) - \pi(x)] \log x + \pi(2x) \log 2 &\geq \frac{1}{3}x \log 4 + O(\log x) \\ \pi(2x) - \pi(x) &\geq \frac{x}{3 \log x} \log 4 - \pi(2x) \frac{\log 2}{\log x} + O(1) \\ \pi(2x) - \pi(x) &\geq \frac{2x}{3} \frac{\log 2}{\log x} - \pi(2x) \frac{\log 2}{\log x} + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that there exists a prime number between  $2x$  and  $x$  if  $\pi(2x) < \frac{2x}{3}$  for large  $x$ . As we bounded  $\pi(x) \leq (\log 4 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} < \frac{x}{3}$ , this is the case for large enough  $x$ .  $\square$

### 1.2.3 $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}$

**Question 7.** *It's given that*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + O(1) = \log x + O(1)$$

*Show that this would follow from the Prime Number Theorem. Why does the Prime Number Theorem not follow from this? What stronger information on  $\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p}$  would yield the Prime*

*Number Theorem?*

*Proof.* The first equality follows from the fact that  $\frac{\log x}{x^m} < 1$  for  $m > 1$ . The second equality follows from partial summation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n \frac{\log n}{n} &= \pi(x) \frac{\log x}{x} - 0 - \int_1^x \pi(t) \frac{1 - \log t}{t^2} dt \\
 (\text{PNT}) &\sim 1 - \int_1^x \frac{1}{t \log t} dt - \int_1^x \frac{dt}{t} \\
 &= 1 - \log(\log x) + \log x \\
 &= \log x + O(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

□